

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/758,645	01/10/2001	Jeffrey Allen Hamilton	007216-7	9524
36234	7590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
THE MCCALLUM LAW FIRM, LLC 132 KOLAR COURT			TANG, SON M	
ERIE, CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2632	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/758,645	JEFREY ALLEN HAMILTON			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Son M Tang	2632			
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: <u>Non-Final</u>				
(1) <u>Son M Tang</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>Ms. Marshall</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>2 December 2004</u> Time: <u>2:00pm</u>					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
DANIELWU \ 1					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER					
(Examplier/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant had noted that the 2nd non-final office action mailed on July 15 2004 is the same as the 1st non-final office action mailed on October 27 2003, Examiner realized that 2nd office action as a defective office action. Therefore, the 2nd non-final office action is vacate and a new non-final office action is forth coming..